

**REMARKS:**

Claim 1 is the only claim presented.

Although the Examiner had indicated that claim 1 would have been in condition for allowance with her Office Action of November 22, 2006, the undersigned understands via two telephone interviews conducted with the Examiner that she has re-read the Spanish reference to Torres and felt that claim 1 would not be allowable as previously presented. The Examiner had not indicated that the previous notice of allowability had been withdrawn.

Going forward, the Examiner has indicated in the Office Action of April 19, 2007 and has reiterated during the telephone interviews that claim 2 would be allowable, if presented in independent form. Amend claim 1 now incorporates the subject matter of claim 2 and is therefore believed to be in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner feels that she still cannot allow this application, she is respectfully urged to telephone the undersigned. The Applicant is a small entity with limited funds and has already indicated his frustration in having thought that the application was allowable, but then facing a further rejection. If the Examiner again withdraws her indication of allowability, it is requested that she telephone the undersigned to clarify and explain this position to avoid further confusion. Otherwise, it is hoped that the application is now in condition for allowance and further favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
*/Peter C. Michalos/*  
Peter C. Michalos, Reg. No. 28,643  
(845) 359-7700

Dated: July 26, 2007  
**NOTARO & MICHALOS P.C.**  
100 Dutch Hill Road, Suite 110  
Orangeburg, New York 10962-2100  
**Customer No. 21706**